



### **NATIONAL WORKSHOPS**

organized in the framework of the project "NELLIP – Network of European Language Labelled Initiatives and Projects"

**BULGARIA** 





### **General Information**

- Organised workshops: 3
- Dates:
  - > 14 February 2014
  - > 11 March 2014
  - > 11 April 2014
- > Total number of participants: 41
- > Educational sectors covered: All
  - Most represented sector adult education
  - Less represented sector university education
- > Improvement plans produced: 6
- > Online transnational meetings held: 3 (ES, RO, SE)





### **Programme**

#### **PRESENTATIONS:**

- Presentation of the NELLIP project, performed activities and achieved results
- Presentation of the European Language Label award opportunities and benefits
- Presentation of the NELLIP Guidelines a guided tour via the project portal

#### **DISCUSSIONS:**

- Shared definitions of quality in language teaching and learning
- Criteria and strategies for improving quality
- Comparison of examples of good practices

#### **GROUP WORK:**

- Group work on a selected language learning initiative and strategies for improving its quality
- Production of an Improvement Plan for the selected initiative
- Interactive transnational meeting for exchanging ideas on the issues discussed

#### **EVALUATION:**

- Evaluation of the workshop
- Evaluation of the NELLIP portal





# 1<sup>st</sup> National Workshop (1)

Date: 14 February 2014

Place: Sofia, Bulgaria

**Duration:** 4 hours

**Number of participants:** 10 people

▶ **Profile of participants:** VET and Adult Education

Materials used:

- PPT presentations
- Project website
- Worksheets for group discussions
- Case studies in Bulgarian
- Improvement Plans
- Evaluation forms
- Recognition materials folders, pens, block-notes, posters, leaflets, invitations, etc.





# 1<sup>st</sup> National Workshop (2)

#### Improvement Plans:

- ▶ 1<sup>st</sup> group undertook the improvement of an existing initiative
- ▶ **2**<sup>nd</sup> **group** developed an idea for a new initiative

#### Conclusions:

- Exchanged ideas and good practices for language learning and promotion
- Most useful aspects were the Guidelines and models for quality management, dissemination and exploitation activities and networking
- Discussion on quality issues related to the link "service-client-quality"
- ▶ **Time** for the workshop is not enough
- Practical sessions were outlined as the strongest point of the workshop
- Online meeting gave the opportunity to exchange ideas
- Posting comments on Facebook and commenting on Case Studies on the project website during the workshop itself did not work
- Participants need time to get familiar with the case studies and then post their comments





### 1<sup>st</sup> National Workshop (3)

#### Workshop evaluation:

- Average score **9,1 out of 10 points**
- Practical activities were most useful
- Useful, detailed, concrete information
- The content was very well structured
- The practical session helped perceive the theory
- Useful for future work with EU projects
- Extremely professional presentations which will be useful in the future
- Not enough time for so much information

#### NELLIP portal evaluation:

- Most useful sources Guidelines, National Report, Case studies, Transnational Report
- Strengths of NELLIP information on language learning initiatives; Guidelines; Information on quality assurance and project management; Overview of the ELL
- Weaknesses of NELLIP The case studies are not accessible to people who don't speak English
- Final comments Continue updating the database





# 1st National Workshop (4)

### **BULGARIAN NATIONAL WORKSHOP - 14 FEBRUARY 2014**





































# 2<sup>nd</sup> National Workshop (1)

**Date:** 11 March 2014

Place: Sofia, Bulgaria

**Duration:** 6 hours

Number of participants: 22 people

Profile of participants: Primary and Secondary Education, VET, Adult Education, University Education, Policy-makers, Governmental Institutions (Ministry of Education and Science; Ministry of Culture)

#### Materials used:

- PPT presentations
- Project website
- Worksheets for group discussions
- Case studies in Bulgarian
- Improvement Plans
- Evaluation forms
- Recognition materials folders, pens, block-notes, posters, leaflets, invitations, etc.





# 2<sup>nd</sup> National Workshop (2)

#### Improvement Plans:

- ▶ 1<sup>st</sup> group undertook the improvement of an existing initiative
- 2<sup>nd</sup> group developed a strategy for submitting a completed project for the ELL award
- > 3<sup>rd</sup> group developed an idea for a new initiative

#### **Conclusions:**

- The ELL award is still **not that popular in Bulgaria**
- Brainstorming session on tools for management and quality assurance was very useful
- **Guidelines are useful**, practical and applicable for the development of high quality language projects and initiatives
- Possible collaboration opportunities mainly related to ICT
- What methods for language learning are currently available on the market
- Improvised presentation of an **online learning tool** for the blind





# 2<sup>nd</sup> National Workshop (3)

#### Workshop evaluation:

- Average score **9,5 out of 10 points**
- Exchange of experience on language projects related to ICT
- Information gained on NELLIP and ELL
- Participants from various organisations
- Presentation of language learning initiatives
- Good practices awarded with ELL
- Cooperation opportunities

#### NELLIP portal evaluation:

- Most useful sources Guidelines; The entire project portal; The workshops; Database; Case studies; Best practices; Opportunity for creation of new partnerships; Transnational Report and Guidelines give systematic information in a compact way
- Strenghts of NELLIP Spreading information about the ELL; Exchange of ideas; Sharing good practices; Useful comparative analysis; Practices at European and national level; Opportunities for promotion of languages in Europe; Recommendations and criteria for quality management and assurance; Excellent Guidelines; The information is structured and easy to use
- Weaknesses of NELLIP Comparison of countries with too different characteristics; Weak involvement of the NA in Bulgaria; Website could be more attractive (in terms of design)
- Final comments Continue the project through a Strategic Partnership; Cover more countries; Continuous update of the database of awarded project covering also the years after 2012





# 2<sup>nd</sup> National Workshop (4)

#### **BULGARIAN NATIONAL WORKSHOP - 11 MARCH 2014**































# 3<sup>rd</sup> National Workshop (1)

**Date:** 11 April 2014

▶ **Place:** Sofia, Bulgaria

**Duration:** 6 hours

Number of participants: 9 people

Profile of participants: School Education, VET, Adult

Education,

#### Materials used:

- PPT presentations
- Project website
- Worksheets for group discussions
- Case studies in Bulgarian
- Improvement Plans
- Evaluation forms
- Recognition materials folders, pens, block-notes, posters, leaflets, invitations, etc.





# 3<sup>rd</sup> National Workshop (2)

### Improvement Plans:

All participants undertook the improvement of an existing initiative

#### **Conclusions:**

- Consistent information on quality assurance of language projects
- Brainstorming session on sections from the new Erasmus+ application form
- Discussion on risk management in language projects
- Guidelines are practical tool for planning a language learning initiative
- Recommendations for future applicants for the ELL





# 3<sup>rd</sup> National Workshop (3)

#### Workshop evaluation:

- Average score 9,4 out of 10 points
- Exchange of experience
- Information about the ELL
- Useful information on quality management
- Practical sessions on current application forms
- Improvement plan discussions
- Recommendations for applying for ELL

#### NELLIP portal evaluation:

- Most useful sources Guidelines; National Report; Case Studies
- Strengths of NELLIP Systematic information on ELL; Useful tools for quality assurance and project management; Case studies; Transnational Report
- Weaknesses of NELLIP Some selected projects in the database lack sufficient information; Guidelines are useful but too long in volume; Difference between the separate Guidelines is confusing





# 3<sup>rd</sup> National Workshop (4)

#### **BULGARIAN NATIONAL WORKSHOP - 11 APRIL 2014**

























